Abstract.

Building on the work of P.N. Norton, we give combinatorial formulae for two maximal decompositions of the identity into orthogonal idempotents in the 0-Hecke algebra of the symmetric group, $\mathbb{C}H_0(S_N)$. This construction is compatible with the branching from $H_0(S_{N-1})$ to $H_0(S_N)$.

Goal

We identify a formula for two different maximal orthogonal decompositions of the identity into idempotents for the 0-Hecke algebra of the symmetric group. These decompositions obey a branching rule from $H_0(S_{N-1})$ to $H_0(S_N)$.

Definition (The 0-**Hecke Monoid)**

The 0-Hecke monoid $H_0(S_N)$ is generated by the collection π_i for *i* in the set $I = \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$ with relations:

• Idempotence: $\pi_i^2 = \pi_i$,

• Commutation: $\pi_i \pi_j = \pi_j \pi_i$ for |i - j| > 1,

• Braid Relation: $\pi_i \pi_{i+1} \pi_i = \pi_{i+1} \pi_i \pi_{i+1}$.

The 0-Hecke algebra $\mathbb{C}H_0(S_N)$ is the monoid algebra of the 0-Hecke monoid. These relations are encoded in the *Dynkin diagram*.

The 0-Hecke monoid of the symmetric group can be considered as the monoid generated by the

anti-sorting operators on permutations of N. These π_i act on permutation $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$ by transposing σ_i and σ_{i+1} if $\sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}$, and doing nothing otherwise.

A Combinatorial Formula for Idempotents in the 0-Hecke Algebra of the Symmetric Group

Tom Denton

Department of Mathematics, University of California Davis

Automorphisms

 $\mathbb{C}H_0(S_N)$ is alternatively generated as an algebra by elements $\pi_i^- := (1 - \pi_i)$, which satisfy the same relations as the π_i generators. There is a unique automorphism Ψ of $\mathbb{C}H_0(S_N)$ defined by $\pi_i \xrightarrow{\Psi} (1 - \pi_i)$. For any longest element w_J^+ , the image $\Psi(w_J^+)$ is a longest element in the $(1 - \pi_i)$ generators; this element is denoted w_J^- .

> $\Psi:\pi_i\longrightarrow(1-\pi_i)$ $\Theta:\pi_i\longrightarrow\pi_{N-i}$ $\kappa: \pi_{i_1}\pi_{i_2}\cdots\pi_{i_{k-1}}\pi_{i_k} \longrightarrow \pi_{i_k}\pi_{i_{k-1}}\cdots\pi_{i_2}\pi_{i_1}$

The idempotents constructed by the formula in this poster are fixed as a set under the automorphisms Ψ and Θ , but the automorphism κ can be used to obtain a second set of orthogonal idempotents.

Representation Theory

To each subset J of $I = \{1, 2, ..., N - 1\}$ is associated a simple and an indecomposable projective module. The simple modules are one dimensional, and are given by the map λ_J defined on the generators as follows:

$$\lambda_J(\pi_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in J, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \notin J. \end{cases}$$

The indecomposable (left) projective modules can be realized combinatorially as the collection of elements in the monoid whose right descent set is exactly J. Let w_{J}^{+} be the longest element in the generators indexed by J, and $w_{\hat{I}}$ be $\Psi(w_{I\setminus J}^+)$. Then, by a theorem of P.N. Norton, the left projective module is:

$$H_0(S_N)w_J^+w_{\hat{J}}^-.$$

Unfortunately, these elements $w_J^+ w_{\hat{I}}^-$ are neither orthogonal nor idempotent. The goal of this project was to obtain an orthogonal decomposition of the identity into idempotents.

Construction of Idempotents

• Choose a *signed diagram* D, by assigning a sign to each node of the Dynkin diagram. The 2^{N-1} signed diagrams are in bijection with the set of simple modules. For D a signed diagram, let D_i be the signed sub-diagram consisting of the first ientries of D.

• Construct the elements L_D and R_D , by taking the product of the longest elements in parabolic submonoids of adjacent matching signs, in the generators π_i^{\pm} according to the sign in the diagram.

• Set $C_D = L_D R_D$. This is the *diagram demipotent* associated to D. We call an element x demipotent if there exists a finite positive integer m such that $x^m = x^m + 1$. (Thus, x^m is idempotent.) Finally, obtain the idempotent:

 $I_D = C_D^{N-1} = C_{D_1} C_{D_2} \cdots C_{D_N=D}.$



Let D+ and D- denote the signed diagrams equal to D with an extra + or - adjoined. The diagram demipotents obey the branching rule from S_{N-1} to S_N :

We now state the main result.

Each diagram demipotent C_D for $H_0(S_N)$ is demipotent, and yields an idempotent I_D = $C_{D_1}C_{D_2}\cdots C_D = C_D^N$. The collection of these idempotents $\{I_D\}$ form an orthogonal set of primitive idempotents that sum to 1.

Applying a 'mask' according to a signed Dynkin diagram D to the word

seems to produce demipotent elements which yield the same idempotents as the diagram demipotents C_D . This has been checked up to N = 9 using Sage-Combinat.

This work was suggested by Alain Lascoux and Nicolas M. Thiéry. Additionally, Florent Hivert gave insights into demipotents elements in an aperiodic monoid. Thanks are also due to my advisor, Anne Schilling, as well as Chris Berg, Andrew Berget, Brant Jones, Steve Pon, and Qiang Wang for helpful feedback. For large examples, the Semigroupe package developed by Jean-Éric Pin was invaluable.

Supported in part by the VIGRE grant NSF–DMS0636297 and NSF grants DMS-0652641 and DMS-0652652.





Branching of Diagram Demipotents

 $C_D = C_{D+} + C_{D-}.$

Furthermore, 'sibling' diagram demipotents D+ and D- commute and are orthogonal:

 $C_{D-}C_{D+} = C_{D+}C_{D-} = 0.$

Main Theorem

Conjecture

 $u_N = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_{N-1} \pi_N \pi_{N-1} \cdots \pi_2 \pi_1$

Acknowledgements



